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## Univariate Social Relations Model (I)

- actor $i$ rates partner $j$ in dyad $d=(i j)$ on one variable $y$, e.g., ratings On
- I like person $X X$ a lot.
- I think that person XX is good at Mathematics.
- social relations model (SRM)

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i j}=\mu+a_{i}+p_{j}+\varepsilon_{i j} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- actor effects $a_{i}$ : how much person $i$ likes other persons
- partner effects $p_{j}$ : how much person $j$ is liked by other persons
- relationship effects $\varepsilon_{i j}$ : specific effect that person $i$ likes $j$


## Univariate Social Relations Model (II)

- social relations model (SRM)

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i j}=\mu+a_{i}+p_{j}+\varepsilon_{i j} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- model parameters at level of persons $\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{u}\right)$ and dyads $\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{r}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{u}=\operatorname{Var}\binom{a_{i}}{p_{i}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{a}^{2} & \\
\sigma_{a p} & \sigma_{p}^{2}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{2}\\
& \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{r}=\operatorname{Var}\binom{\varepsilon_{i j}}{\varepsilon_{j i}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} & \\
\sigma_{\varepsilon \varepsilon} & \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}
\end{array}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

## Mixed Effects Representation of the SRM

- social relations model (SRM)

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i j}=\mu+a_{i}+p_{j}+\varepsilon_{i j} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- define vector of person effects for persons $i=1, \ldots, I: \boldsymbol{u}_{i}=\left(a_{i}, p_{i}\right)$
- define vector of dyad effects for dyads $d=1, \ldots, D: \boldsymbol{r}_{d}=\left(\varepsilon_{i j}, \varepsilon_{j i}\right)$
- collect all observations in outcome $\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{i j}\right)_{i j}$
- mixed effects model representation (see Nestler, 2016)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{y}=\boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\sum_{i=1}^{I} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i} \boldsymbol{u}_{i}+\sum_{d=1}^{D} \boldsymbol{W}_{d} \boldsymbol{r}_{d} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with design matrices $\boldsymbol{Z}_{i}$ and $\boldsymbol{W}_{d}$ (containing only zeros or ones)

- short form in mixed effects model notation: $\boldsymbol{y}=\boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{Z} \boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{r}$


## Multivariate Social Relations Model

- now consider $V$ multiple outcomes $y_{1 i j}, \ldots, y_{V i j}$
- multiple (i.e., 2 V ) actor and partner effects define person level variable $\boldsymbol{u}_{i}$
- relationship vector $\boldsymbol{r}_{d}$ can also be extended for multiple outcomes
- no general change in notation for mixed effects representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{y}=\boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\sum_{i=1}^{I} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i} \boldsymbol{u}_{i}+\sum_{d=1}^{D} \boldsymbol{W}_{d} \boldsymbol{r}_{d} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

- in short: $\boldsymbol{y}=\boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{Z} \boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{r}$
- estimation with ANOVA method (unweighted least squares) or (restricted) maximum likelihood


## Structural Equation Models (SEM) for Multivariate Data

- model multivariate normally distributed outcome as a constrained model $\boldsymbol{y} \sim M V N(\boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{\theta}))$ with a parameter vector $\boldsymbol{\theta}$
- ignore mean structure in the following for simplicity
- structural equation model (SEM)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{y}=\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \\
& \boldsymbol{\eta}=\boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{\eta}+\boldsymbol{\xi} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

- model parameter vector $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ contains free parameters in $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \boldsymbol{B}$,

$$
\operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{\xi})=\mathbf{\Phi}, \operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})=\mathbf{\Psi}
$$

- model implied covariance matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{y})=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{y}}=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{\theta})=\boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\boldsymbol{I}-\boldsymbol{B})^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Phi}\left((\boldsymbol{I}-\boldsymbol{B})^{-1}\right)^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{\prime}+\boldsymbol{\Psi} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Maximum Likelihood Estimation in SEM

- maximum likelihood (ML) estimation maximizes

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(\boldsymbol{\theta})=\text { const }-\frac{1}{2} \log \left|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{y}}^{-1}\right|-\frac{1}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{y}}\right)^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{y}}^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{y}}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

- gradient (score equation)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial l}{\partial \theta_{h}}=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{y}}^{-1} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{y}}}{\partial \theta_{h}}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{y}}\right)^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{y}}^{-1} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{y}}}{\partial \theta_{h}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{y}}^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{y}}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

- expected information matrix for use in Fisher Scoring

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(\frac{\partial l^{2}}{\partial \theta_{h} \partial \theta_{k}}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{y}}^{-1} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{y}}}{\partial \theta_{h}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{y}}^{-1} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{y}}}{\partial \theta_{k}}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

- update equation in Fisher scoring

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t+1)}=\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}+\left[E\left(\frac{\partial l^{2}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}^{T}}\right)\right]^{-1} \frac{\partial l}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Social Relations Structural Equation Model (SR-SEM)

- multivariate $\mathrm{SRM} \Rightarrow$ covariance structure of person effects $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{u}$ and dyad effects $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{r}$
- consider restricted models $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{u}=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{u}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{r}=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{r}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, e.g. models with factor structures or relationship among several constructs $\Rightarrow$ social relations structural equation model (SR-SEM)
- SEM at level of persons: $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{u}=\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{u}, \boldsymbol{B}_{u}, \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{u}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{u}\right)$
- SEM at level of dyads $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{r}=\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{r}, \boldsymbol{B}_{r}, \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{r}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{r}\right)$
- or pose some equality constraints among both levels (e.g., invariance of factor loadings)


## ML Estimation in SR-SEM

- stack all observations (dyads, variables) of a round robin design in outcome vector $\boldsymbol{y}$
- $\boldsymbol{y}$ is multivariate normally distributed if all effects of the SRM are normally distribution
- ML estimation of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ needs $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{y}}$ and $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{y}}{\partial \theta_{h}}$ (see normal theory based ML)
- multivariate SRM has mixed effects representation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\boldsymbol{y}=\boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\sum_{i=1}^{I} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i} \boldsymbol{u}_{i}+\sum_{d=1}^{D} \boldsymbol{W}_{d} \boldsymbol{r}_{d}  \tag{4}\\
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{y}}=\operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{i=1}^{I} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{u} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}^{\prime}+\sum_{d=1}^{D} \boldsymbol{W}_{d} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{r} \boldsymbol{W}_{d}^{\prime}  \tag{11}\\
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{y}}}{\partial \theta_{h}}=\sum_{i=1}^{I} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{u}}{\partial \theta_{h}} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}^{\prime}+\sum_{d=1}^{D} \boldsymbol{W}_{d} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{r}}{\partial \theta_{h}} \boldsymbol{W}_{d}^{\prime} \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

## R Package srm

- R package srm on CRAN
- covers SEM at both levels (persons and dyads)
- satisfactory computation time (computational shortcuts, use of Rcpp)
- ML estimation using Fisher scoring and quasi-Newton approach using observed information matrix
- Fisher scoring relatively stable, at least more stable than Quasi-Newton algorithms with observed information matrix


## srm Package: Model Syntax

- inspired by multilevel syntax of lavaan (level identifiers \%person and \%dyad)
- SRM decomposition $Y_{i j}=\mu+a_{i}+p_{j}+\varepsilon_{i j}$ plainly translates to $\mathrm{V} 1=\mathrm{V} 1 @ \mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{V} 1 @ \mathrm{P}+\mathrm{V} 1 @ \mathrm{AP}$
- Example syntax for unidimensional factor model

```
\%Person
f1@A=~Wert1@A+Wert2@A+Wert3@A
f1@P=~Wert1@P+Wert2@P+Wert3@P
%Dyad
f1@AP=~Wert1@AP+Wert2@AP+Wert3@AP
# define some constraints
Wert1@AP ~ ~ 0*Wert1@PA
Wert3@AP ~ ~ 0*Wert3@PA
```


## srm Package: Model Output

|  |  |  | 1hs | op | rhs | mat | fixed | est | se | lower |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | NA | 1 | F1@A | = | Wert1@A | LAM_U | 1 | 1.000 | NA | -Inf |
| 2 | NA | 1 | F1@P | $=\sim$ | Wert1@P | LAM_U | 1 | 1.000 | NA | -Inf |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | F1@A |  | F1@A | PHI_U | NA | 0.322 | 0.071 | -Inf |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | F1@A |  | F1@P | PHI_U | NA | 0.098 | 0.043 | - Inf |
| 5 | 3 | 1 | F1@P |  | F1@P | PHI_U | NA | 0.160 | 0.049 | -Inf |
| 6 | NA | 1 | Wert1@A |  | Wert1@A | PSI_U | 0 | 0.000 | NA | -Inf |
| 7 | NA | 1 | Wert1@A |  | Wert1@P | PSI_U | 0 | 0.000 | NA | -Inf |
| 8 | NA | 1 | Wert1@P |  | Wert1@P | PSI_U | 0 | 0.000 | NA | -Inf |
| 9 | NA | 1 | F1@A | $\sim 1$ | F1@A | MU_U | 0 | 0.000 | NA | -Inf |
| 10 | NA | 1 | F1@P | $\sim 1$ | F1@P | MU_U | 0 | 0.000 | NA | -Inf |
| 11 | 4 | 1 | Wert1@A | $\sim 1$ | Wert1@A | BETA | NA | 0.150 | 0.093 | -Inf |
| 12 | NA | 1 | F1@AP | =~ | Wert1@AP | LAM_D | 1 | 1.000 | NA | -Inf |
| 13 | NA | 1 | F1@PA | = | Wert1@PA | LAM_D | 1 | 1.000 | NA | -Inf |
| 14 | 6 | 1 | F1@AP |  | F1@AP | PHI_D | NA | 1.531 | 0.081 | -Inf |
| 15 | 5 | 1 | F1@AP |  | F1@PA | PHI_D | NA | 0.069 | 0.081 | -Inf |
| 16 | 6 | 1 | F1@PA |  | F1@PA | PHI_D | NA | 1.531 | 0.081 | -Inf |
| 17 | NA | 1 | Wert1@AP |  | Wert1@AP | PSI_D | 0 | 0.000 | NA | -Inf |
| 18 | NA | 1 | Wert1@AP |  | Wert1@PA | PSI_D | 0 | 0.000 | NA | -Inf |
| 19 | NA | 1 | Wert1@PA |  | Wert1@PA | PSI_D | 0 | 0.000 | NA | -Inf |

## Computational Aspects

- matrices of derivatives $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{u}}{\partial \theta_{h}}$ and $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{r}}{\partial \theta_{h}}$ have known forms (known from single-level SEMs)
- inverse matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{y}}^{-1}$ computationally demanding because its dimension is $D(D-1) V$
- total likelihood based on sum of independent likelihoods corresponding to different round robin groups
$\Rightarrow \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{y}}^{-1}$ must only be computed for round robin designs with same number of persons (without missing data)


## Faster Computation of $\Sigma_{y}^{-1}$ : Woodbury Identity

- tip from Yves Rosseel (June 2019)
- observations in the SR-SEM are of the form $\boldsymbol{y}=\boldsymbol{Z} \boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{e}$, where $\boldsymbol{U}=\operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{u})$ and $\boldsymbol{E}=\operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{e})$ are block diagonal matrices of functions of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{u}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{r}$, respectively
- $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{u}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{r}$ computationally inexpensive to invert (because of lower dimension), and, therefore, also block diagonal matrices $\boldsymbol{U}$ and $\boldsymbol{E}$
- it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{y})=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{y}}=\boldsymbol{Z} \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{Z}^{T}+\boldsymbol{E} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

- use Woodbury identity for inversion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\boldsymbol{Z} \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{Z}^{T}+\boldsymbol{E}\right)^{-1}=\boldsymbol{E}^{-1}-\boldsymbol{E}^{-1} \boldsymbol{Z}\left(\boldsymbol{U}^{-1}+\boldsymbol{Z}^{T} \boldsymbol{E}^{-1} \boldsymbol{Z}\right) \boldsymbol{Z}^{T} \boldsymbol{E}^{-1} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Skipping Zero Entries in Matrix Computations

- in computation of the first and second derivative, matrix multiplications $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{y}}^{-1} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{y}}{\partial \theta_{h}}$ for all parameters $\theta_{h}$ have to be computed
- many entries in $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{y}}{\partial \theta_{h}}$ are zero (e.g., derivative with respect to a particular item loading)
- skip these computations in matrix computations by hard coding sparse matrix multiplications in Rcpp
$\Rightarrow$ skipping redundant computations led to most important speed improvement


## More Advanced Models and Extensions

- multiple group models (e.g., round robin designs in different age groups or different school tracks)
- discrete moderators $x$ (e.g., gender) of model parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}=\boldsymbol{\theta}(x)$ can be handled by including pseudo variables (original variable $\times$ dummy variables for moderator values)
- generic variables at person level (self ratings) are round robin variables with constraints: $y_{i j}=\mu+0 \cdot a_{i}+1 \cdot p_{j}+0 \cdot \varepsilon_{i j}$
- level-specific fit indices for assessing differences between multivariate saturated SRM and SRM-SEM


## Alternative Estimators

- least squares estimation (Bond \& Malloy, 2018)
- composite likelihood methods (pairwise likelihood estimation), particularly attractive for high-dimensional models and categorical data
- MCMC techniques (Hoff, 2005; Gill \& Swartz, 2001)
- maximum a posterior (MAP) estimation using prior distributions (penalized maximum likelihood estmation)
- plausible value imputation: estimate a saturated multivariate SRM at first, then plugin the PVs into a standard single-level SEM
- two-step methods: estimation of "factor scores", then plug-in factor scores into path models (with some unreliablity correction)


## Many thanks!
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